





Current week



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')



Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')




Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 986–998 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 968–992 participants


Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 939–983 participants

Over time



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – **Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)**




Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 977–1.035 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 9 June 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')



Figures given in percentages


Basis Mar–May: 499–510 participants

Basis from Jun: 985–1.032 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 480–502 participants


Basis from Jun: 954–1.019 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'**




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 983–1.024 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 27 October 2020; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Appropriateness of the measures for containment I

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated


^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 474–512 participants
 Basis from Jun: 916–1.031 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment II

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

^b Measure was added to the questionnaire (again) at the time indicated


Figures given in percentages

Basis Mar–May: 474–512 participants

Basis from Jun: 916–1.031 participants

Current protective measures of the participants I

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Current protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages
Basis: 960–1.016 participants

Part of the questionnaire since 30 March 2021; survey on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Figures given in percentages


Basis Mar–May: 492–514 participants

Basis from Jun: 973–1.017 participants

Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Perceived probability of an infection


How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 470–511 participants
 Basis from Jun: 895–1.030 participants


Since June 2020 survey every two weeks on two (* three) consecutive days; the first day of each survey is indicated

Age groups




Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – **Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)**



Perceived informedness about what is happening


How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 304–350 participants in corresponding age group

Evaluation of media coverage


How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Used channels of information

How often do you use the following information channels to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'daily', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'less than once a week', 'never') – **Shown: response category 'daily'**




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 297–352 participants in corresponding age group

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – **Shown: response category 'appropriate'**




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 284–352 participants in corresponding age group

Current protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken within the past 2 weeks to protect yourself or others from the novel coronavirus?
(multiple selection)




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 293–336 participants in corresponding age group


Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?
 (Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Perceived probability of an infection

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – **Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)**



Dates and sample sizes of the survey

24 Mar	510 participants	2020	18–19 Aug	1.033 participants	2020	16–17 Mar	1.012 participants	2021	12–13 Oct	1.002 participants	2021
31 Mar	500 participants		01–02 Sep	1.013 participants		30–31 Mar	1.008 participants				
07 Apr	510 participants		15–16 Sep	1.026 participants		13–14 Apr	1.007 participants				
14 Apr	515 participants		29–30 Sep	1.012 participants		27–28 Apr	1.024 participants				
21 Apr	505 participants		13–14 Oct	1.015 participants		11–12 May	1.023 participants				
28 Apr	503 participants		27–28 Oct	1.006 participants		25–26 May	1.006 participants				
05 May	504 participants		10–11 Nov	1.009 participants		08–09 Jun	1.010 participants				
12 May	510 participants		24–25 Nov	1.018 participants		22–23 Jun	1.005 participants				
19 May	509 participants		08–09 Dec	1.004 participants		06–08 Jul	1.002 participants				
26 May	510 participants		17–18 Dec	1.010 participants		20–21 Jul	1.012 participants				
09–10 Jun	1.015 participants	2021	05–06 Jan	1.017 participants	03–04 Aug	1.007 participants					
23–24 Jun	1.037 participants		19–20 Jan	1.018 participants	17–18 Aug	1.010 participants					
07–08 Jul	1.011 participants		02–03 Feb	1.004 participants	31 Aug – 01 Sep	1.004 participants					
21–22 Jul	1.037 participants		16–17 Feb	997 participants	14–15 Sep	1.007 participants					
04–06 Aug	1.024 participants		02–03 Mar	1.014 participants	28–29 Sep	1.022 participants					

How were the data collected?

Statistical population:	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
Sampling:	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
Data weighting:	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
Method:	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
Presentation of results:	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
Conducted by:	Kantar GmbH

About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en*

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)

Imprint

BfR-Corona-Monitor | 12–13 October 2021

(corrected version from 29 October 2021)

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10
10589 Berlin
bfr@bfr.bund.de
www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: mathisworks/GettyImages

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source
'BfR-Corona-Monitor | 12–13 October 2021'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en



Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung