





Current week




Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
(Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned')




Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? *(Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')*




Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')



Used channels of information


What channels do you use to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate')



Figures given in percentages

Basis: 980–1.019 participants

Protective measures of the participants


Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?


(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?

(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high')




Over time



Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus


To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 1.003–1.035 participants

Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? (Response scale: 1 'very bad' to 5 'very good')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 499–510 participants
 Basis from Jun: 1.006–1.032 participants

* Survey every two weeks since June 2020

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 480–502 participants
 Basis from Jun: 971–1.019 participants

* Survey every two weeks since June 2020

Used channels of information


What channels do you use to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 1.011–1.037 participants

Appropriateness of the measures for containment

How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – Shown: response category 'appropriate'



* Survey every two weeks since June 2020


^a Wording was adapted to the current situation at the time

^b Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated

Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 493–512 participants
 Basis from Jun: 980–1.034 participants

Protective measures of the participants I


Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 1.011–1.037 participants

Protective measures of the participants II

Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Part of the questionnaire since June 2020

^a Measure was added to the questionnaire at the time indicated


Figures given in percentages

Basis: 1.011–1.037 participants

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection

How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')




Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 492–514 participants
 Basis from Jun: 996–1.017 participants

* Survey every two weeks since June 2020

Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?


(Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)



Figures given in percentages
 Basis Mar–May: 470–511 participants
 Basis from Jun: 929–1.030 participants


* Survey every two weeks since June 2020

Age groups




Concern about the impact of the novel coronavirus

To what extent are you personally concerned or not concerned about the impact of the novel coronavirus in the following areas of life?
 (Response scale: 1 'not concerned at all' to 5 'very concerned') – **Shown: response category '(very) concerned' (values 4 + 5)**



Perceived informedness about what is happening

How well or badly do you feel informed about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? *(Response scale: 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’)*



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 307–353 participants in corresponding age group

Evaluation of media coverage

How do you evaluate the overall media coverage of the novel coronavirus?

(Response options: 'downplaying', 'appropriate', 'exaggerated')




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 305–338 participants in corresponding age group

Used channels of information


What channels do you use to inform yourself about what is happening with the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*



Figures given in percentages
Basis: 315–354 participants in corresponding age group

Appropriateness of the measures for containment


How do you evaluate the following measures to contain the spread of the novel coronavirus?
 (Response options: 'not appropriate', 'appropriate') – **Shown: response category 'appropriate'**



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 294–354 participants in corresponding age group

Protective measures of the participants

Which of the following measures have you taken to protect yourself or your family from the novel coronavirus? *(multiple selection)*




Figures given in percentages

Basis: 315–354 participants in corresponding age group

Perceived controllability of the risk of infection


How sure are you that you can protect yourself from an infection with the novel coronavirus?

(Response scale: 1 'not sure at all' to 5 'very sure')



Perceived probability of infection by transmission pathways

How high or low do you estimate the probability of being infected with the novel coronavirus via the following paths?
 (Response scale: 1 'very low' to 5 'very high') – Shown: response category '(very) high' (values 4 + 5)



Figures given in percentages
 Basis: 273–354 participants in corresponding age group

Dates and sample sizes of the survey

Survey phase 1

24 March 2020	510 participants
31 March 2020	500 participants
07 April 2020	510 participants
14 April 2020	515 participants
21 April 2020	505 participants
28 April 2020	503 participants
05 May 2020	504 participants
12 May 2020	510 participants
19 May 2020	509 participants
26 May 2020	510 participants

Survey phase 2

09–10 June 2020	1.015 participants
23–24 June 2020	1.037 participants
07–08 July 2020	1.011 participants
21–22 July 2020	1.037 participants
04–06 August 2020	1.024 participants
18–19 August 2020	1.033 participants
01–02 September 2020	1.013 participants
15–16 September 2020	1.026 participants

How were the data collected?

Statistical population:	German-speaking population ages 14 years and over in private households in the Federal Republic of Germany
Sampling:	Samples drawn at random from land line and mobile telephone numbers which can also include telephone numbers not listed in directories (in line with standards set by the Association of German Market Research Institutes – ADM)
Data weighting:	Data was weighted according to gender, education, age, employment, size of city and German federal state to guarantee representativeness
Method:	Telephone interview (CATI omnibus survey, Dual Frame)
Presentation of results:	All figures given in percentages, rounding differences are possible, only valid responses were included (response option ‘don’t know’ was excluded from all analyses)
Conducted by:	Kantar

About the BfR

Do nanoparticles promote the occurrence of allergies? Does apple juice contain too much aluminium? The German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, or BfR for short, is responsible for answering questions on all aspects of the health assessment of foods and feeds, consumer products and chemicals. Through its work, it makes a decisive contribution towards ensuring that food, products and the use of chemicals have become safer in Germany.

The Institute's main tasks comprise the assessment of existing health risks and identification of new ones, the development of recommendations to limit risks and the transparent communication of this process. This work results in the scientific advice given to political decision makers. To help with the strategic alignment of its risk communication, the BfR conducts its own research in the field of risk perception. The Institute is independent in its scientific assessments, research and communication. The BfR belongs to the portfolio of the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL).

 *More information at: www.bfr.bund.de/en*

COVID-19/coronavirus:

> [A-Z Index](#) > [C](#) > [COVID-19/corona](#)

Imprint

BfR Corona-Monitor | 15–16 September 2020

Publisher: German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)
Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10
10589 Berlin
bfr@bfr.bund.de
www.bfr.bund.de/en

Photo: [mathisworks/GettyImages](#)

Use of the results published here is possible with mention of the source
'BfR Corona-Monitor | 15–16 September 2020'.

This text version is a translation of the original German text, which is the only legally binding version.

German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment

Max-Dohrn-Straße 8–10

10589 Berlin

GERMANY

Phone +49 30 18412-0

Fax +49 30 18412-99099

bfr@bfr.bund.de

www.bfr.bund.de/en



Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung